SMEX Kickoff Meeting Safety, Reliability, & Quality Assurance Handout

Rick Claffy, GSFC Code 410/303, X6-7866



- SR&QA effort is controlled by SMEX AO, EPL Reference Document #25.
 - Page 2, Par. 1.1 discusses EXP Program Office & PI joint effort to define best mix of roles and responsibilities for SR&QA execution.
 - Mission Definition & Requirements Agreement. (EPL Ref. #29)
 - Code 410/PI SR&QA Insight Agreement.
 - Becomes part of GSFC/PI Contract as a condition for confirmation.
 - Defines Early the Inter-Institutional Partnering Arrangement for SR&QA services.
 - Page 3, Par. 2.1 requires PIs to implement a product assurance program that is consistent with ISO 9000 series ANSI/ASQC Q9001-1994.
 - ISO <u>registration not required</u>, but <u>compliance is expected</u> with the Standard's sections <u>where it makes good engineering and programmatic sense</u>.
 - Program must meet SMEX Safety, Reliability, and Quality Assurance Requirements, as published with the AO.
 - Tailoring encouraged in most assurance technology areas, but ...
 - The highly specialized discipline of System Safety, including the Range Safety effort, is dictated by statute. Therefore, expert guidance through the process is needed by most PI teams.

- The SMEX SR&QA Requirements document addresses Missions of Opportunity.
 - Permits further tailoring for Missions of Opportunity.
 - Shuttle proposers should refer to EPL Ref #25 (a) for System Safety scope & resulting cost planning.
- Specifics of PI SR&QA Program to be negotiated with Explorers during definition phase.
 - SMEX SR&QA Requirements are basis for PI SR&QA Program & associated documentation.
 - PI program shall be modeled after ANSI/ASQC Q9001-1994.
 - Quality Manual or equivalent document to be reviewed by GSFC for comments during Phase B.
- SMEX SR&QA document Highlights:
 - Invokes Hi-Reliability Workmanship standards.

- Strongly Urges flight Printed Wiring Board Coupon DPA by certified facility prior to population with flight EEE parts.
- Requires a PI Failure Reporting System for phase C/D/E.
- Lays out Design Review Requirements.
- Details specific System Safety program requirements and deliverables with process flow descriptions (EPL Ref. #25 a-d).
 - Magnitude of System Safety effort must not be under-estimated.
 - Allocate/identify roles & resources.
 - Start early.
 - GSFC can help in numerous ways.
- EEE Parts Selection criteria per GSFC 311-INST-001 or equal.
 - PI shall maintain and review Parts Lists with GSFC.
 - PI shall use an organized system to manage parts application, evaluation, and use.
 - Includes mandatory GIDEP Alert and NASA Advisory responses.

Materials and Processes program required as typical for GSFC sponsored missions.

- Reliability

- Risk assessments made and mitigation strategies identified.
- FMEA at Instrument to Spacecraft interface.

Software

- Code to be structured, error free, and maintainable.
- Establish & document SW requirements, external interface specs, user guides.
- Internal (peer) and external software design reviews.

Verification

- Verification/test program to ensure all mission requirements are met.
- Documentation to include verification matrix, environments matrix, and test procedures.

- Emergent Themes Directed by NASA HQ since AO release:
 - Red Team Reviews.
 - Team expectations can exceed baseline review requirements.
 - Reviews w/ Extra, detailed questions.
 - RFA trail & Failure Report closures thoroughly checked by Red Team.
 - GSFC Policy has shifted to Code 301 Chaired Reviews for PI Missions.
 - Reliability Emphasis On:
 - Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA).
 - Fault Tree Analysis, etc.
 - FMEA @ subsystem level.
 - Identify all single string design features.
 - Failure Impacts/mitigation.
 - Tangible Continuous Risk Tracking & Management System.
 - Software IV&V.
 - Each mission evaluated for SW complexity/risk/need.
 - Determination of appropriate level of IV&V involvement via standardized criteria.
- Mission Success is GSFC Center Director's Ultimate Responsibility to NASA Administrator.